UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA BARBARA

BERKELEY • DAVIS • IRVINE • LOS ANGELES • MERCED • RIVERSIDE • SAN DIEGO • SAN FRANCISCO



SANTA BARBARA • SANTA CRUZ

OFFICE OF DESIGN & CONSTRUCTION SERVICES and PHYSICAL FACILITIES

CONTRACTING SERVICES Building 439 30 92

SENT VI	
	E-MAIL POSTED ON UCSB PLANROOM AND/OR WEBSITE
To:	HOLDERS OF RFQ DOCUMENTS
From:	University of California, Santa Barbara
Subject:	Addendum No. 1 New Instructional Hall and Theater (Campbell Hall Replacement Building) RFQ No. FM160380
April 22, 2016	
Enclosed is ADDENDUM NO. 1 to the above-captioned Request for Qualifications.	
The SOQ submittal deadline remains Monday, May 2, 2016 at 2:00PM	
	als may be disqualified. Please allow time for unforeseen traffic delays, securing a parking permit ital parking problems.
Should you have any questions regarding this item, please contact the University Representative, Ed Schmittgen, at ed.schmittgen@dcs.ucsb.edu .	
	Sincerely,
	grand of the same
	Greg Moore
	Associate Director, Contracting Services

Enclosure(s):

cc: E. Schmittgen, Associate Director

ADDENDUM NO. 1

to

RFQ NO. FM160380

GENERAL

The following modifications, additions and/or deletions shall be made to the above-mentioned RFQ as indicated; all other terms and conditions shall remain the same.

I. STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS FORM

Item No.

- **1-1. MODIFY** the Statement of Qualifications Form, page 2 of 4, paragraph 7 as follows (*underline denotes an addition and strikethrough denotes a deletion*):
 - "7. List 5 major projects constructed within the past 5 ten (10) years that indicate your experience with similar projects:"

II. <u>UNIVERSITY CLARIFICATIONS & RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS</u> – Set No. 1

The following questions and associated responses were either submitted to the University Representative by prospective Consultants or are being offered by the University to clarify the requirements set forth in the Request for Qualifications. The University's written responses hereunder are merely informational and do not qualify or otherwise amend the requirements set forth in the Request for Qualifications. In the event of a conflict between the information set forth below and the Request for Qualifications, the Request for Qualifications will prevail.

Question No. 1: On page 9 of the RFQ, within Tab 1 it states the list of projects proposers must provide should have been completed within the last 10 years. However, the SOQ form posted on the UCSB website states in question 7 on page 2 that the 5 major projects must have been constructed within the last 5 years. Please clarify?

<u>University Response No. 1</u>: Per the RFQ modification listed above (see Item No. 1-1), please submit a list of five major projects completed within the last ten (10) years.

Question No. 2: Is a letter required in response to the questions included at the top of page 11 under Tab 4, paragraph 3 entitled Malpractice Claims?

<u>University Response No. 2</u>: No. As detailed in that paragraph, it is sufficient to provide: (i) a list all malpractice claims adjudicated within the last five years for both the principal and its proposed subconsultants; (ii) a list of all pending and past lawsuits with the University of California; and (iii) a comprehensive list of all current and past projects with the University of California, regardless of claims or suits. Some overlap or redundancy of the information included in those listings is both likely and permissible.